arXiv:1505.00684v2 [math.FA] 29 Jan 2016

Which weighted composition operators are hyponormal on the Hardy and weighted Bergman spaces?

Mahsa Fatehi and Mahmood Haji Shaabani

February 1, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we study hyponormal weighed composition operators on the Hardy and weighted Bergman spaces. For functions $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ which are not the zero function, we characterize all hyponormal compact weighted composition operators $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ on H^2 and A^2_α. Next, we show that for $\varphi \in \mathrm{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$, if C_φ is hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α, then $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$, where $|\lambda| \leq 1$ or φ is a hyperbolic non-automorphism with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and such that φ has another fixed point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$. After that, we find the essential spectral radius of C_φ on H^2 and A^2_α, when φ has a Denjoy-Wolff point $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Finally, descriptions of spectral radii are provided for some hyponormal weighted composition operators on H^2 and A^2_α.

[1]

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the open unit disk in the complex plane. The algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ consists of all continuous functions on the closure of $\mathbb{D}$ that are analytic on $\mathbb{D}$. The Hilbert spaces of primary interest to us will be the Hardy space H^2 and the weighted Bergman spaces A^2_α. For f which is analytic on $\mathbb{D}$, we denote by $\hat{f}(n)$ the n-th coefficient of f in its Maclaurin series. The Hardy space H^2 is the collection of all such functions f for which

$$\|f\|_1^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty.$$

---

[1] AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 47B33; Secondary 47B20
key words and phrases: Hardy space, Weighted Bergman spaces, weighted composition operator, hyponormal.

For $\alpha > -1$, the weighted Bergman space A^2_α consists of all analytic f on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\|f\|^2_{\alpha+2} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2(\alpha+1)(1-|z|^2)^\alpha dA(z) < \infty,$$

where dA is normalized area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. Throughout this paper, let $\gamma = 1$ for H^2 and $\gamma = \alpha + 2$ for A^2_α. We know that both the weighted Bergman space and the Hardy space are reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, when the reproducing kernel for evaluation at w is given by $K_w(z) = (1 - \overline{w}z)^{-\gamma}$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$. Also the norm of K_w is $(1-|w|^2)^{-\gamma/2}$. We write H^∞ for the space of bounded analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$, with supremum norm $\|f\|_\infty$.

Let φ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. If H is a Hilbert space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$, the composition operator C_φ on H is defined by the rule $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$. Moreover, for an analytic function ψ on $\mathbb{D}$ and an analytic self-map φ of $\mathbb{D}$, we define the weighted composition operator $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ on H by $C_{\psi,\varphi}f = \psi f \circ \varphi$ for all $f \in H$. Such weighted composition operators are clearly bounded on H^2 and A^2_α when ψ is bounded on $\mathbb{D}$, but the boundedness of ψ on $\mathbb{D}$ is not necessary for $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ to be bounded. Moreover, if $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on H^2 or A^2_α, then by [2, Lemma 1] and [11, p. 1211],

$$C^*_{\psi,\varphi}(K_w) = \overline{\psi(w)}K_{\varphi(w)}. \tag{1}$$

Let P denote the projection of $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$ onto H^2. For each $b \in L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{D})$, the Toeplitz operator T_b acts on H^2 by $T_b(f) = P(bf)$. Also suppose that P_α is the projection of $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ onto A^2_α. For each function $w \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, the Toeplitz operator T_w on A^2_α is defined by $T_w(f) = P_\alpha(wf)$. Since P and P_α are bounded, the Toeplitz operators are bounded on H^2 and A^2_α.

A linear-fractional self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ is a map of the form

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \tag{2}$$

with $ad - bc \neq 0$, for which $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. We denote the set of those maps by LFT($\mathbb{D}$). It is well known that the automorphisms of $\mathbb{D}$, denoted Aut($\mathbb{D}$), are the maps in LFT($\mathbb{D}$) that take $\mathbb{D}$ onto itself, and that they are of the form $\varphi(z) = \lambda(a-z)/(1-\overline{a}z)$, where $|\lambda| = 1$ and $|a| < 1$ (see [3]). The automorphisms will be classified into three disjoint types:

- Elliptic if one fixed point is in the disk and the other is in the complement of the closed disk.
- Hyperbolic if both fixed points are on the unit circle.
- Parabolic if there is one fixed point on the unit circle of multiplicity 2.

Suppose $\varphi \in \mathrm{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ is as in Equation (2). It is well-known that the adjoint of C_φ acting on H^2 and A^2_α is given by $C^*_\varphi = T_g C_\sigma T^*_h$, where $\sigma(z) := (\overline{a}z - \overline{c})/(-\overline{b}z + \overline{d})$ is a self-map of $\mathbb{D}$, $g(z) := (-\overline{b}z + \overline{d})^{-\gamma}$ and $h(z) := (cz + d)^\gamma$. Note that g and h are in H^∞ (see [6] and [12]). If $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$ for $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then $\sigma(\eta) = \zeta$. We know that φ is an automorphism if and only if σ is, and in this case $\sigma = \varphi^{-1}$. The map σ is called the Krein adjoint of φ. We will refer to g and h as the Cowen auxiliary functions for φ. From now on, unless otherwise stated, we assume that σ, h and g are given as above. In [13] and [16], the adjoint of C_φ, modulo the ideal of compact operators on H^2 and A^2_α, was obtained, when $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$ but φ is not an automorphism; then in [11] the present authors gave another proof for the form of this adjoint.

We say φ has a finite angular derivative at a point $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ if there is a point $w \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $(\varphi(z) - w)/(z - \zeta)$ has a finite limit as z tends nontangentially to ζ. This limit, if it exists, is called the angular derivative of φ at ζ, and is denoted by $\varphi'(\zeta)$. Throughout this paper, let $F(\varphi)$ denote the set of all points in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ at which φ has a finite angular derivative.

Let φ be an analytic map from the open unit disk into itself which is neither the identity map nor an elliptic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$. The function φ can have at most one fixed point inside the open unit disk. If φ has a fixed point a inside the open unit disk, then $|\varphi'(a)| \leq 1$. If φ has no fixed points inside the open unit disk, then it will have at least one fixed point on the unit circle and for only one of these points, say a, $0 < \varphi'(a) \leq 1$. The absolute value of the derivative at other fixed points on the unit circle are either greater than 1 or they do not exist at all. Therefore, it is clear that φ has exactly one fixed point a on the closed unit disk satisfying $|\varphi'(a)| \leq 1$. This point is known as the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ. Also for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_n(z) = a$, where φ_0 is the identity map and φ_n denotes the n-th iterate of φ.

We say that an operator A on a Hilbert space H is hyponormal if $A^*A - AA^* \geq 0$, or equivalently if $\|A^*f\| \leq \|Af\|$ for all $f \in H$. Recall that an operator T on a Hilbert space H is said to be normal if $TT^* = T^*T$ on H. Also T is unitary if $TT^* = T^*T = I$. The normal composition operators on A^2_α and H^2 have symbol $\varphi(z) = az$, where $|a| \leq 1$ (see [10, Theorem 8.2]). Also, it is easy to see that only the rotation maps $\varphi(z) = \zeta z$, $|\zeta| = 1$, induce unitary composition operators C_φ on H^2 and A^2_α. The normal and unitary weighted composition operators on H^2 and A^2_α were investigated in [2] and [15]. Cowen et al. [9] found the relationship between properties of the symbol φ and the hyponormality of composition operators C_φ. After that Zorboska [21] investigated the hyponormal composition operators on the weighted Hardy spaces. Recently in [7], Cowen et al. investigated that when $C^*_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal. In this paper, we are interested in the hyponormal composition operators and weighted composition operators on H^2 and A^2_α. Our description of all hyponormal compact weighted composition operators $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ on H^2 and A^2_α induced by nonzero functions $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$, which appears in Section 2, reveals that for such operators both ψ and φ are linear-fractional self-maps. Moreover, in Section 2, we see that for $\varphi \in \mathrm{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$, if C_φ is hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α, then C_φ is normal or φ is a hyperbolic non-automorphism with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and such that φ has another fixed point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$. In the final section of this paper, we investigate the spectral radii of some hyponormal weighted composition operators on H^2 and A^2_α.

2 Hyponormal weighted composition operators on H^2 and A^2_α

In this section, we find some hyponormal weighted composition operators on H^2 and A^2_α. Let H be a Hilbert space. The set of all bounded operators and the set of all compact operators from H into itself are denoted by $B(H)$ and $B_0(H)$, respectively. Suppose that T belongs to $B(H^2)$ or $B(A^2_\alpha)$. Through this paper, the spectrum of T, the essential spectrum of T, the approximate point spectrum of T and the point spectrum of T are denoted by $\sigma_\gamma(T)$, $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(T)$, $\sigma_{ap,\gamma}(T)$ and $\sigma_{p,\gamma}(T)$, respectively, for H^2 and A^2_α. Also the spectral radius of T and the essential spectral radius of T are denoted by $r_\gamma(T)$ and $r_{e,\gamma}(T)$, respectively. Moreover, we denote by $\|T\|_\gamma$ and $\|T\|_{e,\gamma}$ the norm of the operator T and the essential norm of the operator T, respectively, on H^2 and A^2_α. In this paper, for $r \in \mathbb{C}$ and a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, we define $rA := \{ra : a \in A\}$.

In [1], Bourdon proved the next lemma for H^2. We show that [1, Lemma 5.1] holds for A^2_α. The idea of the proof of the following lemma is inspired by [1, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that φ, not the identity and not an elliptic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, is an analytic map of the unit disk into itself with Denjoy-Wolff point ζ. Assume that $\psi \in H^\infty$ extends to be continuous on $\mathbb{D} \cup \{\zeta\}$ (if $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$). Suppose that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is considered as an operator on A^2_α. If λ is an eigenvalue of $C_{\psi,\varphi}$, then $|\lambda| \le |\psi(\zeta)| r_{\alpha+2}(C_\varphi)$. If $\psi(\zeta) = 0$ and φ and ψ are nonconstant, then $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ has no eigenvalues.*

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue for $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ with corresponding eigenvector $f \in A^2_\alpha$. Since $C^n_{\psi,\varphi} f = \lambda^n f$, for each positive integer n and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$f(\varphi_n(z)) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \psi(\varphi_j(z)) = \lambda^n f(z). \tag{3}$$

For any fixed point $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and positive integer n, one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\varphi_n(z))| &= |\langle f \circ \varphi_n, K_z \rangle| \\ &\leq \|f \circ \varphi_n\|_{\alpha+2} \|K_z\|_{\alpha+2} \\ &\leq \|C^n_\varphi\|_{\alpha+2} \frac{\|f\|_{\alpha+2}}{(1-|z|^2)^{(\alpha+2)/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Because f is not the zero function, there is $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $f(z) \neq 0$. Since $\{z\}$ is a compact set, by the Denjoy-Wolff Theorem, $\varphi_j(z) \to \zeta$ as $j \to \infty$. Hence $\psi(\varphi_j(z)) \to \psi(\zeta)$ as $j \to \infty$. Equations (3) and (4) yield

$$|\lambda^n f(z)|^{1/n} \leq \left| \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \psi(\varphi_j(z)) \right|^{1/n} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{\alpha+2} \|C^n_\varphi\|_{\alpha+2}}{(1-|z|^2)^{(\alpha+2)/2}} \right)^{1/n}. \tag{5}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, by Equation (5) we have

$$|\lambda| \leq |\psi(\zeta)| r_{\alpha+2}(C_\varphi). \tag{6}$$

Now suppose that $\psi(\zeta) = 0$. Hence by Equation (6), $\sigma_{p,\alpha+2}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \subseteq \{0\}$. If $0 \in \sigma_{p,\alpha+2}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, then there is $f \in A^2_\alpha$ such that f is an eigenvector for $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ with corresponding eigenvalue 0 and $\psi f \circ \varphi \equiv 0$. Since ψ is not the zero function and φ is not a constant function, the Open Mapping Theorem implies that $f \equiv 0$ and it is a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that φ, not the identity and not an elliptic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, is an analytic map of the unit disk into itself with Denjoy-Wolff point ζ. Assume that $\psi \in H^\infty$ extends to be continuous on $\mathbb{D} \cup \{\zeta\}$ (if $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$). Suppose that φ and ψ are nonconstant. If $\psi(\zeta) = 0$ and $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = \{0\}$, then $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not hyponormal on H^2 and A^2_α.*

Proof. Assume that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal on H^2 and A^2_α. Since $\psi(\zeta) = 0$, by [1, Lemma 5.1] and Lemma 2.1, $\sigma_{p,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = \emptyset$. Invoking [4, Proposition 6.7, p. 210] and [4, Proposition 4.4, p. 359], we see that $\partial\sigma_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = \{0\}$. Thus, $r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = 0$. Then [20, Theorem 1] ensures that $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = 0$. Hence

$\psi \equiv 0$ and it is a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ with Denjoy-Wolff point ζ, where $|\zeta| = 1$. Assume that $\psi \in H^\infty$ is continuous at ζ. Then $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not a hyponormal compact operator on H^2 and A^2_α.*

Proof. Assume that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is a hyponormal compact operator on H^2 or A^2_α. If ψ is a constant function, then C_φ is hyponormal. Thus, by [21, Theorem 1], $\varphi(0) = 0$ and it is a contradiction. Now assume that ψ is nonconstant. We have $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = \{0\}$ and $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_{e,\gamma} = 0$. If Q is an arbitrary compact operator on H^2 or A^2_α, then by [10, Theorem 2.17], Equation (1) and the Julia-Carathéodory Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_{\psi,\varphi} - Q\|_\gamma &\geq \lim_{r\to 1} \left\| (C_{\psi,\varphi} - Q)^* \frac{K_{r\zeta}}{\|K_{r\zeta}\|_\gamma} \right\|_\gamma \\
&= \lim_{r\to 1} |\psi(r\zeta)| \frac{\|K_{\varphi(r\zeta)}\|_\gamma}{\|K_{r\zeta}\|_\gamma} \\
&= \lim_{r\to 1} |\psi(r\zeta)| \left(\frac{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2}{1 - |r\zeta|^2} \right)^{-\gamma/2} \\
&= |\psi(\zeta)||\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-\gamma/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_{e,\gamma} \geq |\psi(\zeta)||\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-\gamma/2}$ and so $\psi(\zeta) = 0$. Proposition 2.2 implies that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not hyponormal and it is a contradiction. $\square$

Suppose ψ is not the zero function and $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. In the next theorem, we see that all hyponormal compact weighted composition operators $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ on H^2 and A^2_α were exhibited in [2, Theorem 10] and [15, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 2.4. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. Assume that ψ is not the zero function and $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. The weighted composition operator $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is a hyponormal compact operator on H^2 or A^2_α if and only if $\psi = \psi(p)\frac{K_p}{K_p \circ \varphi}$ and $\varphi = \alpha_p \circ (\delta \alpha_p)$, where $p \in \mathbb{D}$ is a fixed point of φ, $\alpha_p(z) = (p - z)/(1 - \overline{p}z)$ and δ is a constant such that $|\delta| < 1$.*

Proof. Let $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ be a hyponormal compact operator. Assume that ψ is a constant function. By [5, Proposition 4.3, p. 47], it is not hard to see that C_φ is hyponormal. Hence [5, Corollary 4.9, p. 48] implies that C_φ is normal. Since C_φ is compact and normal, we see that $\varphi(z) = \delta z$, where $|\delta| < 1$. Thus, the result follows. Now assume that ψ is not a constant function. We claim that φ has a fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$. Assume that φ has no fixed point on $\mathbb{D}$ and so there is $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ which is the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ. By the proof of Corollary 2.3, $\psi(\zeta) = 0$. Lemma 2.1 and [1, Lemma 5.1] imply that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ has no eigenvalues, so by [4, Theorem 7.1, p. 214], $\sigma_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = \{0\}$. Since $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \subseteq \sigma_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = \{0\}$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2, $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not hyponormal and it is a contradiction. Hence we conclude that φ must have a fixed point $p \in \mathbb{D}$. Since $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is a hyponormal compact operator, by [5, Corollary 4.9, p. 48], $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is normal. Then by [2, Theorem 10] and [15, Theorem 4.3], $\psi = \psi(p)\frac{K_p}{K_p \circ \varphi}$ and $\varphi = \alpha_p \circ (\delta\alpha_p)$, where $|\delta| \leq 1$. Assume that $|\delta| = 1$. It is not hard to see that $\alpha_p \circ (\delta\alpha_p)$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, when $|\delta| = 1$. Also [11, Proposition 3.9] and [17, Theorem 10] imply that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not compact and it is a contradiction. Therefore, $|\delta| < 1$.

Conversely, it is easy to see that $\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Hence by [10, p. 129], $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact. The conclusion follows from [2, Theorem 10] and [15, Theorem 4.3]. □

By the proof of Theorem 2.4, Corollary 2.5 follows immediately.

Corollary 2.5. *Let C_φ be a hyponormal compact operator on H^2 or A^2_α. Then $\varphi(z) = cz$, where $|c| < 1$.*

Proposition 2.6. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. Assume that C_φ is hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α. If φ has a finite angular derivative at ζ, then $|\varphi'(\zeta)| \geq 1$.*

Proof. Assume that φ has a finite angular derivative at ζ. Since C_φ is hyponormal, by [21, Theorem 1] and Schwarz's Lemma, $(1 - |\varphi(w)|)/(1 - |w|) \geq 1$. Hence $\liminf_{|w|\to 1} \frac{1-|\varphi(w)|}{1-|w|} \geq 1$. Therefore, by [10, Proposition 2.46], $|\varphi'(\zeta)| \geq 1$. □

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that φ is an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. Assume that $\varphi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ and $\{e^{i\theta} : |\varphi(e^{i\theta})| = 1\}$ has only one element ζ. Let $\psi \in H^\infty$ be continuous at ζ. If $\psi(\zeta) = 0$, then $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not hyponormal on H^2 and A^2_α.*

Proof. Assume that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal. Let $\psi(\zeta) = 0$. Then by [11, Proposition 2.3] and [13, Corollary 2.2], $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact. We claim that φ has a fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$. Assume that φ has no fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$, so ζ is the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ. Corollary 2.3 shows that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not a hyponormal compact operator and it is a contradiction. Then φ must have a fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$. Since $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is normal, by [2, Theorem 10] and [15, Theorem 4.3], we can see that $\psi(\zeta) \neq 0$. It is a contradiction. $\square$

Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathrm{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Therefore, φ has a fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$ and $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact. If $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is a hyponormal operator, then it is normal. These operators were characterized in [2, Theorem 10] and [15, Theorem 4.3].

Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathrm{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ is not an automorphism but has $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$. We classify φ as follows:

- Hyperbolic non-automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ which has a fixed point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of multiplicity 1. Also it has another fixed point in the complement of $\partial\mathbb{D}$.
- Parabolic non-automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ with a fixed point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of multiplicity two.
- Non-automorphism with sup-norm equal to 1 such that it does not have a fixed point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$. It necessarily has a fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$.

In [8, Theorem 23], Cowen et al. stated that if φ is a hyperbolic non-automorphism with Denjoy-Wolff point ζ in $\partial\mathbb{D}$, then there is no $\psi \in H^\infty$ continuous at ζ such that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal on H^2. Now suppose that φ is a linear-fractional self-map of $\mathbb{D}$, not an automorphism, which satisfies $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$, where $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta \neq \eta$. Also let $\psi \in H^\infty$ be continuous at ζ. In Proposition 2.8, we show that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not hyponormal on H^2 and A^2_α.

If A and B are subsets of complex plane and $\lambda \neq 0$ is constant such that $A = \lambda B$, then it is not hard to see that $\sup\{|a| : a \in A\} = \lambda \sup\{|b| : b \in B\}$. We use this fact in the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that φ is a linear-fractional self-map of $\mathbb{D}$, not an automorphism, which satisfies $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$, where $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta \neq \eta$. Let $\psi \in H^\infty$ be continuous at ζ. Suppose that ψ is not the zero function. Then $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, assume that $\psi(\zeta) \neq 0$. We claim that there is $t \in (-\infty, -|\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-\gamma}]$ such that $t \in \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C^*_{\psi,\varphi}C_{\psi,\varphi} - C_{\psi,\varphi}C^*_{\psi,\varphi})$. This may be seen as follows. By [11, Proposition 2.3] and [13, Corollary 2.2], $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}C^*_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(\zeta)|^2\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_\varphi C^*_\varphi)$. Now using [13, Theorem 3.1], [13, Proposition 3.6] and [16, Theorem 3.2], we have $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}C^*_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-\gamma}\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\sigma\circ\varphi})$. By the fact which was stated before Proposition 2.8, we can see that $r_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}C^*_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-\gamma}r_{e,\gamma}(C_{\sigma\circ\varphi})$. Therefore, $r_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}C^*_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-\gamma}\lim_{n\to\infty}\|C^n_{\sigma\circ\varphi}\|^{1/n}_{e,\gamma}$. Also [13, Proposition 3.4] implies that $(\sigma \circ \varphi)'(\zeta) = 1$. Hence by [14, Theorem 5.2], one can easily see that $r_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}C^*_{\psi,\varphi}) \geq |\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-\gamma}$. Since $C_{\psi,\varphi}C^*_{\psi,\varphi}$ is positive, there is $\lambda \geq |\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-\gamma}$ such that $\lambda \in \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}C^*_{\psi,\varphi})$. Let z, z^* and e be the cosets of $C_{\psi,\varphi}$, $C^*_{\psi,\varphi}$ and I in the Calkin algebra $B(H^2)/B_0(H^2)$ or $B(A^2_\alpha)/B_0(A^2_\alpha)$. By [11, Proposition 2.3], [16, Theorem 3.2], [13, Corollary 2.2] and [13, Theorem 3.1], it is not hard to see that $(zz^*)(z^*z) = (z^*z)(zz^*) = 0$ and so the C^*-algebra generated by zz^*, z^*z and e is commutative. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the commutative C^*-algebra generated by zz^*,z^*z and e. We denote the collection of all nonzero homomorphisms of $\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ by Σ. Since $(zz^*)(z^*z) = (z^*z)(zz^*) = 0$, $m(z^*z) = 0$ or $m(zz^*) = 0$ for each $m \in \Sigma$. Therefore, by [4, Theorem 8.6, p. 219], we have $-\lambda \in \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C^*_{\psi,\varphi}C_{\psi,\varphi} - C_{\psi,\varphi}C^*_{\psi,\varphi})$. Hence $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not hyponormal on H^2 and A^2_α. □

A map $\varphi \in \mathrm{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ is called parabolic if it has a fixed point $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ of multiplicity 2. The map $\tau(z) := (1 + \overline{\zeta}z)/(1 - \overline{\zeta}z)$ takes the unit disk onto the right half-plane Π and sends ζ to ∞. Therefore, $\phi := \tau \circ \varphi \circ \tau^{-1}$ is a self-map of Π which fixes only ∞, and so must be the mapping of translation by some number t, where necessarily $\mathrm{Re}t \geq 0$. Note that $\mathrm{Re}t = 0$ if and only if $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. When the number t is strictly positive, we call φ a positive parabolic non-automorphism. Among the linear-fractional self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$ fixing $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, the parabolic ones are characterized by $\varphi'(\zeta) = 1$.

Suppose that φ is a linear-fractional self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. In the following theorem, we see that if C_φ is hyponormal, then $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$, when $|\lambda| \leq 1$ or φ is a hyperbolic non-automorphism with a fixed point $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let φ be a linear-fractional self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. If C_φ is hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α, then $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$ or $\varphi(z) = (1 - |c|)z/(cz + 1)$, where $|\lambda| \leq 1$ and $0 < |c| < 1$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ and C_φ be hyponormal. By [21], we know that $\varphi(0) = 0$. We break the proof into five parts.

(1) Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. Since $\varphi(0) = 0$, we can easily see that $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$, where $|\lambda| = 1$.

(2) Let $\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Then by [10, p. 129], C_φ is compact. [5, Corollary 4.9, p. 48] implies that C_φ is normal. Hence $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$, where $|\lambda| < 1$.

(3) Suppose that φ is a linear-fractional self-map of $\mathbb{D}$, not an automorphism, which satisfies $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$ for some $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta \neq \eta$. Then by Proposition 2.8, C_φ is not hyponormal.

(4) Let φ be a parabolic non-automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$. Then φ has only one fixed point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and it is a contradiction.

(5) Let φ be a hyperbolic non-automorphism with a fixed point $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Since $\varphi(0) = 0$, we can easily see that φ is a map of the form $\varphi(z) = az/(cz+1)$, where $a, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \neq 0$. We have $\zeta = a\zeta/(c\zeta+1)$, so $a = c\zeta+1$. If σ is the Krein adjoint of φ, then $\sigma(z) = (\overline{c\zeta} + 1)z - \overline{c}$. We have $|c| < 1$, because $\sigma(0) \in \mathbb{D}$. By [13, Proposition 3.4], $\varphi \circ \sigma$ is a positive parabolic non-automorphism. Let $\tau(z) = (1+\overline{\zeta}z)/(1-\overline{\zeta}z)$. Then $\tau \circ \varphi \circ \sigma \circ \tau^{-1}$ sends 0 to a strictly positive number. One sees, after some patient calculation, that $\tau(\varphi(\sigma(\tau^{-1}(0)))) = (-2|c|^2 - 2\mathrm{Re}(c\zeta))/(\overline{c\zeta} + 1)$. Since $-2|c|^2 - 2\mathrm{Re}(c\zeta)$ is a real number, $c\zeta$ is a real number. If $c\zeta > 0$, then $\tau(\varphi(\sigma(\tau^{-1}(0)))) < 0$. Therefore, $c\zeta < 0$ and $c\zeta = -|c|$. Hence $\varphi(z) = (1 - |c|)z/(cz + 1)$. $\square$

3 Spectral radii of hyponormal weighted composition operators on H^2 and A^2_α

We know that if an operator T is hyponormal, then $\|T\| = r(T)$. In this section, we investigate spectral radii of weighted composition operators.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that φ is an analytic map of the unit disk into itself with Denjoy-Wolff point $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Then the essential spectral radius of C_φ on H^2 or A^2_α is $\varphi'(\zeta)^{-\gamma/2}$.*

Proof. By using the general version of the Chain Rule given in [19, Chapter 4, Exercise 10, p. 74], we see that $\varphi_n'(\zeta) = \varphi'(\zeta)^n$. By [14, Theorem 5.2], $r_{e,\gamma}(C_\varphi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|C_{\varphi_n}\|_{e,\gamma}^{1/n} \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{|\varphi_n'(\zeta)|^{\gamma/2}}\right)^{1/n} = \varphi'(\zeta)^{-\gamma/2}$. Also by [10, Theorem 3.9] and [12, Theorem 6], $\varphi'(\zeta)^{-\gamma/2} = r_\gamma(C_\varphi) \geq r_{e,\gamma}(C_\varphi)$, so the result follows. $\square$

Suppose that φ is an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and α is a complex number of modulus 1. Since $\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha+\varphi}{\alpha-\varphi}\right)$ is a positive harmonic function on $\mathbb{D}$, there exists a finite positive Borel measure μ_α on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $\frac{1-|\varphi(z)|^2}{|\alpha-\varphi(z)|^2} = \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha+\varphi(z)}{\alpha-\varphi(z)}\right)$ $= \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} P_z d\mu_\alpha$ for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $P_z(e^{i\theta}) = (1-|z|^2)/|e^{i\theta} - z|^2$ is the Poisson kernel at z. The measures μ_α are called the Clark measures of φ. There is a unique pair of measures μ_α^{ac} and μ_α^s such that $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha^{ac} + \mu_\alpha^s$, where μ_α^{ac} and μ_α^s are the absolutely continuous and singular parts with respect to Lebesgue measure, respectively. In particular, if φ is a linear-fractional non-automorphism such that $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$ for some $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then $\mu_\alpha^s = 0$ when $\alpha \neq \eta$ and $\mu_\eta^s = |\varphi^{'}(\zeta)|^{-1}\delta_\zeta$, where δ_ζ is the unit point mass at ζ. We write $E(\varphi)$ for the closure in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of the union of the closed supports of μ_α^s as α ranges over the unit circle. We know that $F(\varphi) \subseteq E(\varphi)$ (see [14, p. 2919]). For information about the Clark measures, see [14].

Lemma 3.2. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. Suppose that $\varphi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ and the set of points which φ makes contact with $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is finite. Assume that there are a positive integer n and $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $E(\varphi_n) = \{\zeta\}$, where ζ is the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ. Let $\psi \in H^\infty$ be continuous at ζ. Then*

$$r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(\zeta)|\varphi'(\zeta)^{-\gamma/2}.$$

Proof. Since ζ is the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ, $F(\varphi_n) = \{\zeta\}$. Also we have $\varphi_n'(\zeta) = \varphi'(\zeta)^n$. Since $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\varphi_n})$ is a compact set, by Lemma 3.1, there is $\lambda \in \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\varphi_n})$ such that $|\lambda| = r_{e,\gamma}(C_{\varphi_n}) = \varphi'(\zeta)^{-n\gamma/2}$. Since $\varphi(\zeta) = \zeta$, [13, Corollary 2.2] and [11, Proposition 2.3] imply that $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}) = \sigma_{e,\gamma}(T_{\psi\cdot\psi\circ\varphi\ldots\psi\circ\varphi_{n-1}}C_{\varphi_n}) = \psi(\zeta)^n\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\varphi_n})$. We may now apply Lemma 2.1 and [1, Lemma 5.1] to observe that $|\mu| \leq |\psi(\zeta)|^n r_\gamma(C_{\varphi_n})$ for each μ in $\sigma_{p,\gamma}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi})$. Then by [12, Theorem 6] and [10, Theorem 3.9], we have for each $\mu \in \sigma_{p,\gamma}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi})$, $|\mu| \leq |\psi(\zeta)|^n\varphi'(\zeta)^{-n\gamma/2}$. By [4, Proposition 6.7, p. 210] and [4, Proposition 4.4, p. 359],

$$\partial\sigma_\gamma(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}) \subseteq \sigma_{ap,\gamma}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}) \subseteq \sigma_{p,\gamma}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}) \cup \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}).$$

Therefore, we can easily see that $r_\gamma(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(\zeta)|^n\varphi'(\zeta)^{-n\gamma/2}$. We have

$$r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = \lim_{k\to\infty}\|C^{nk}_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma^{1/(nk)} = \big(r_\gamma(C^n_{\psi,\varphi})\big)^{1/n} = |\psi(\zeta)|\varphi'(\zeta)^{-\gamma/2}.$$

$\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that φ is a parabolic non-automorphism with a fixed point $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Let $\psi \in H^\infty$ be continuous at ζ. Then on H^2 and A^2_α,*

$$r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(\zeta)|.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi'(\zeta) = 1$, the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 3.2. $\square$

In [8, Theorem 21], Cowen et al. showed that a hyponormal weighted composition operator on H^2 with a composition symbol φ as a positive parabolic non-automorphism is automatically normal. In the following proposition, for φ which is a parabolic non-automorphism, we find a necessary condition for $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ to be hyponormal on H^2 and A^2_α.

Proposition 3.4. *Let φ be a parabolic non-automorphism with a fixed point $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Suppose that $\psi \in H^\infty$ is continuous at ζ. If $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α, then for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$,*

$$|\psi(\zeta)| \geq |\psi(w)| \left(\frac{1-|w|^2}{1-|\varphi(w)|^2}\right)^{\gamma/2}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Since $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal, by [5, Proposition 4.6 p. 47] and Corollary 3.3, $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = |\psi(\zeta)|$. By Equation (1), we have

$$\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = \|C^*_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma \geq \|C^*_{\psi,\varphi}(K_w/\|K_w\|_\gamma)\|_\gamma = |\psi(w)| \left(\frac{1-|w|^2}{1-|\varphi(w)|^2}\right)^{\gamma/2},$$

as desired. $\square$

Example 3.5. Let φ be a parabolic non-automorphism with fixed point 1. Assume that $\psi_1(z) = \frac{2-z}{4}$ and $\psi_2(z) = -3z^2 + 2z + 3$. Setting $w = 0$ and $\zeta = 1$ in Equation (7), we get $C_{\psi_1,\varphi}$ and $C_{\psi_2,\varphi}$ are not hyponormal.

Proposition 3.6. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\psi \in H^\infty$. Suppose there is a positive integer n that $\{e^{i\theta} : |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})| = 1\} = \emptyset$. If $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α, then $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(0)|$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{\varphi_n(\mathbb{D})} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, by [10, p. 129], $C^n_{\psi,\varphi} = C_{\psi\cdot\psi\circ\varphi\ldots\psi\circ\varphi_{n-1},\varphi_n}$ is compact. Therefore, $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}) = \{0\}$ and so by the Spectral Mapping Theorem, $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = \{0\}$. Schwarz's Lemma implies that 0 is the Denjoy-Wolff point. Invoking Lemma 2.1 and [1, Lemma 5.1], for each $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, $|\lambda| \leq |\psi(0)| r_\gamma(C_\varphi)$. Hence by [12, Theorem 6] and [10, Theorem 3.9], for each $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, $|\lambda| \leq |\psi(0)|$. By [4, Proposition 6.7, p. 210] and

[4, Proposition 4.4, p. 359], $\partial\sigma_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \subseteq \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \cup \sigma_{p,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$. Hence $r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \leq |\psi(0)|$. We have

$$\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = \|C^*_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma \geq \|C^*_{\psi,\varphi}K_0\|_\gamma = |\psi(0)|\|K_{\varphi(0)}\|_\gamma = |\psi(0)|.$$

Since $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal, $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(0)|$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let φ be analytic on $\mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Assume that there is an integer n such that $\{e^{i\theta} : |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})| = 1\}$ has only one element ζ which is a fixed point of φ and $\zeta \in F(\varphi)$. Suppose that $\varphi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ and $\psi \in H^\infty$ is continuous at ζ. If $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α, then*

$$\frac{|\psi(\zeta)|}{|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{\gamma/2}} \leq \|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma \leq max\{|\psi(\zeta)|, |\psi(0)|\}.$$

Proof. Assume that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(\zeta) = \zeta$, where $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. By [13, Corollary 2.2] and [11, Proposition 2.3], $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}) = \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi\cdot\psi\circ\varphi\ldots\psi\circ\varphi_{n-1},\varphi_n})$ $= \psi(\zeta)^n\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\varphi_n})$. By [10, Corollary 3.7] and [18, Lemma 2.3], we see that $r_{e,\gamma}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}) \leq |\psi(\zeta)|^n r_{e,\gamma}(C_{\varphi_n}) \leq |\psi(\zeta)|^n\|C_{\varphi_n}\|_\gamma \leq |\psi(\zeta)|^n$. Hence by the Spectral Mapping Theorem, $r_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \leq |\psi(\zeta)|$. As we saw in the proof of Proposition 3.6, [10, Theorem 3.9], [12, Theorem 6], Lemma 2.1 and [1, Lemma 5.1] imply that for each $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, $|\lambda| \leq |\psi(0)|$. Also by [4, Proposition 6.7, p. 210] and [4, Proposition 4.4, p. 359], $\partial\sigma_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \subseteq \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \cup \sigma_{p,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$. Hence $r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \leq \max\{|\psi(\zeta)|, |\psi(0)|\}$. By Equation (1), we see that for each $r < 1$,

$$\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|^2_\gamma = \|C^*_{\psi,\varphi}\|^2_\gamma \geq \left\|C^*_{\psi,\varphi}\frac{K_{r\zeta}}{\|K_{r\zeta}\|_\gamma}\right\|^2_\gamma = |\psi(r\zeta)|^2\left(\frac{1-|r\zeta|^2}{1-|\varphi(r\zeta)|^2}\right)^\gamma.$$

Then by the Julia-Caratheodory Theorem (see [10, Theorem 2.44, p. 51]),

$$\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|^2_\gamma \geq \lim_{r\to 1}|\psi(r\zeta)|^2\left(\frac{1+|r\zeta|}{1+|\varphi(r\zeta)|}\right)^\gamma\left(\frac{1-|r\zeta|}{1-|\varphi(r\zeta)|}\right)^\gamma = |\psi(\zeta)|^2\frac{1}{|\varphi'(\zeta)|^\gamma}.$$

Since $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, the result follows. □

Let H be a Hilbert space of functions analytic on the unit disk. If the monomials $1, z, z^2, \ldots$ are an orthogonal set of non-zero vectors with dense span in H, then H is called a weighted Hardy space. We will assume that the norm satisfies the normalization $\|1\| = 1$. The weight sequence for a weighted Hardy space H is defined to be $\beta(n) = \|z^n\|$. The weighted Hardy space with weight sequence $\beta(n)$ will be denoted $H^2(\beta)$. The norm on $H^2(\beta)$ is given by $\left\|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j\right\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j|^2 \beta(j)^2$. We know that H^2 and A^2_α are weighted Hardy spaces (see [10]).

Suppose that φ, not the identity and not an elliptic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, is an analytic map of the unit disk into itself with $\varphi(0) = 0$. In the following proposition, we show that for a hyponormal weighted composition operator $C_{\psi,\varphi}$, if $r_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \leq |\psi(0)|$, then ψ is constant and C_φ is hyponormal.

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that φ, not the identity and not an elliptic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, is an analytic map of the unit disk into itself with $\varphi(0) = 0$. Assume that $\psi \in H^\infty$ and for each $\lambda \in \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, $|\lambda| \leq |\psi(0)|$. If $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α, then ψ is constant, C_φ is hyponormal and $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(0)|$.*

Proof. By [1, Lemma 5.1], Lemma 2.1, [10, Theorem 3.9] and [12, Theorem 6], for each $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, $|\lambda| \leq |\psi(0)|$. [4, Proposition 6.7, p. 210] and [4, Proposition 4.4, p. 359] imply that $r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \leq |\psi(0)|$. Since $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal, $|\psi(0)| \geq \|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma \geq \|C_{\psi,\varphi}1\|_\gamma = \|\psi\|_\gamma$. Since H^2 and A^2_α are weighted Hardy spaces, it is easy to see that $\|\psi\|_\gamma \geq |\psi(0)|$. Hence ψ is constant and $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(0)|$. $\square$

By the definition of hyponormality, we can see that if a hyponormal operator is unitarily equivalent to another operator, then that other operator is also hyponormal; we use this fact in the proof of the following two theorems.

Suppose that φ, not the identity and not an elliptic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, is an analytic map of the unit disk into itself. In the following theorem, we see that if $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal, when $\varphi(p) = p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{D}$ and $r_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) \leq |\psi(p)|$, then the function ψ has a simple linear-fractional form that is the same as what Bourdon et al. and Le found in [2, Theorem 10] and [15, Theorem 4.3]. Also Theorem 3.9 is an extension of Proposition 3.8.

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose that φ, not the identity and not an elliptic automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, is an analytic map of the unit disk into itself with $\varphi(p) = p$, where $p \in \mathbb{D}$. Assume that $\psi \in H^\infty$. Suppose that for each $\lambda \in \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, $|\lambda| \leq |\psi(p)|$. If $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal on H^2 or A^2_α, then $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(p)|$ and $\psi = \psi(p)\frac{K_p}{K_p \circ \varphi}$.*

Proof. Let $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ be hyponormal. Suppose that $\psi_p = K_p/\|K_p\|_\gamma$ and

$\alpha_p(z) = (p-z)/(1-\overline{p}z)$. By [2, Theorem 6] and [15, Corollary 3.6], we have

$$H := C^*_{\psi_p,\alpha_p} C_{\psi,\varphi} C_{\psi_p,\alpha_p} \tag{8}$$

is hyponormal. Let σ be the Krein adjoint of α_p and g and h be the Cowen auxiliary functions for α_p. Since $T^*_h T^*_{\psi_p} = (T_{\psi_p h})^* = T_{1/\|K_p\|_\gamma}$ and $C^*_{\alpha_p} = T_g C_{\alpha_p} T^*_h$, by [11, Remark 2.1(a)], we see that

$$\begin{aligned} H &= T_g C_{\alpha_p} T^*_h T^*_{\psi_p} T_\psi C_\varphi T_{\psi_p} C_{\alpha_p} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|K_p\|_\gamma} T_g T_{\psi\circ\alpha_p} T_{\psi_p\circ\varphi\circ\alpha_p} C_{\alpha_p} C_\varphi C_{\alpha_p} \\ &= C_{q,\alpha_p\circ\varphi\circ\alpha_p}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $q = (g\cdot\psi\circ\alpha_p\cdot\psi_p\circ\varphi\circ\alpha_p)/\|K_p\|_\gamma$ (see the proof of [2, Theorem 10]). Since H is unitarily equivalent to $C_{\psi,\varphi}$, $\sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = \sigma_{e,\gamma}(H)$. Since $q(0) = \psi(p)$, for each $\lambda \in \sigma_{e,\gamma}(C_{q,\alpha_p\circ\varphi\circ\alpha_p})$, $|\lambda| \le |q(0)|$. We may apply Proposition 3.8 to conclude that $\|C_{q,\alpha_p\circ\varphi\circ\alpha_p}\|_\gamma = r_\gamma(C_{q,\alpha_p\circ\varphi\circ\alpha_p}) = |q(0)| = |\psi(p)|$. Since $r_\gamma(C_{q,\alpha_p\circ\varphi\circ\alpha_p}) = r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi})$ and $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal, $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma = r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi}) = |\psi(p)|$, as desired. Also Proposition 3.8 implies that q is constant. Since $q \equiv \psi(p)$, $g\cdot\psi\circ\alpha_p\cdot\psi_p\circ\varphi\circ\alpha_p \equiv \|K_p\|_\gamma\psi(p)$ on $\mathbb{D}$. Then $g\circ\alpha_p\cdot\psi\cdot\psi_p\circ\varphi \equiv \|K_p\|_\gamma\psi(p)$ on $\mathbb{D}$. It follows that $\psi = \|K_p\|_\gamma\psi(p)/(g\circ\alpha_p\cdot\psi_p\circ\varphi)$. Observe $g\circ\alpha_p = \|K_p\|^2_\gamma/K_p$ and $\psi_p\circ\varphi = K_p\circ\varphi/\|K_p\|_\gamma$. Hence $\psi = \psi(p)\frac{K_p}{K_p\circ\varphi}$. ☐

Corollary 3.10. *Suppose that φ is analytic in a neighborhood of the closed unit disk. Let there exist $p \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\varphi(p) = p$. Assume that there is a positive integer n such that $\{e^{i\theta} : |\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})| = 1\}$ has only one element ζ which is a fixed point of φ and $\zeta \in F(\varphi)$. Suppose that $\psi \in H^\infty$ is continuous at ζ and $|\psi(\zeta)| \le |\psi(p)|$. If $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal on H^2, then $\psi = \psi(p)\frac{K_p}{K_p\circ\varphi}$ and $\|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_1 = |\psi(p)|$.*

Proof. By [13, Corollary 2.2], $\sigma_{e,1}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi}) = \sigma_{e,1}(T_{\psi\cdot\psi\circ\varphi\ldots\psi\circ\varphi_{n-1}}C_{\varphi_n}) = \psi(\zeta)^n\sigma_{e,1}(C_{\varphi_n})$. Also [10, Exercise 3.2.5] and the general version of the Chain Rule given in [19, Chapter 4, Exercise 10, p. 74] imply that $|\lambda| \le |\psi(\zeta)|^n r_{e,1}(C_{\varphi_n}) \le |\psi(\zeta)|^n|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-n/2} \le |\psi(\zeta)|^n$ for each $\lambda \in \sigma_{e,1}(C^n_{\psi,\varphi})$. By the Spectral Mapping Theorem, we can see that for each $t \in \sigma_{e,1}(C_{\psi,\varphi})$, $|t| \le |\psi(\zeta)|$. The result follows from Theorem 3.9. ☐

One example of φ for Corollary 3.10 is a hyperbolic non-automorphism with a fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$ and another fixed point in $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let* $\varphi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ *with* $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. *Assume* p *and* ζ *satisfy the hypotheses of the second and third sentences of Corollary 3.10. Suppose* $\psi \in H^\infty$ *is continuous at* ζ. *If* $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ *is hyponormal on* H^2 *or* A^2_α, *then*

$$\frac{\mu}{|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{\gamma/2}} \leq \|C_{\psi,\varphi}\|_\gamma \leq \max\{\mu, |\psi(p)|\},$$

where $\mu = |\psi(\zeta)K_p(\alpha_p(\zeta))K_p(\zeta)|/\|K_p\|^2_\gamma$ *with* $\alpha_p(z) = (p-z)/(1-\bar{p}z)$.

Proof. Suppose that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is hyponormal. Let H be as in Equation (8). We see that the map $\alpha_p \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_p$ fixes $\alpha_p(\zeta)$. Since $\alpha_p^{-1} = \alpha_p$, by [3, Corollary 7.6, p. 99], $(\alpha_p \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_p)'(\alpha_p(\zeta)) = \varphi'(\zeta)$. By Proposition 3.7, we have

$$\frac{|q(\alpha_p(\zeta))|}{|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{\gamma/2}} \leq \|C_{q,\alpha_p\circ\varphi\circ\alpha_p}\|_\gamma \leq \max\{|q(\alpha_p(\zeta))|, |q(0)|\}, \tag{10}$$

where $q = (g \cdot \psi \circ \alpha_p \cdot \psi_p \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_p)/\|K_p\|_\gamma$ with g the Cowen auxiliary function for α_p and $\psi_p = K_p/\|K_p\|_\gamma$. We have $q(0) = \psi(p)$. Moreover,

$$q(\alpha_p(\zeta)) = \frac{\psi(\zeta)K_p(\alpha_p(\zeta))K_p(\zeta)}{\|K_p\|^2_\gamma}.$$

Since H is unitarily equivalent to $C_{\psi,\varphi}$, $r_\gamma(H) = r_\gamma(C_{\psi,\varphi})$. Since $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ and H are hyponormal, by Equations (9) and (10), the result follows. ☐

Acknowledgments

The authors really appreciate professor Barbara D. MacCluer of the University of Virginia for her attention and practical help. Also the authors would like to thank professor Derek Thompson of Taylor University for pointing out some useful remarks.

References

[1] P. S. Bourdon, Spectra of some composition operators and associated weighted composition operators, *J. Oper. Theory* **67** **(2)** (2012), 537-560.

[2] P. S. Bourdon and S. K. Narayan, Normal weighted composition operators on the Hardy space $H^2(U)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **367** (2010), 278-286.

[3] J. B. Conway, Functions of One Complex Variable, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

[4] J. B. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

[5] J. B. Conway, The Theory of Subnormal Operators, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991.

[6] C. C. Cowen, Linear fractional composition operators on H^2, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* **11** (1988), 151-160.

[7] C. C. Cowen, S. Jung, and E. Ko, Normal and cohyponormal weighted composition operators on H^2, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications* **240** (2014), 69-85.

[8] C. C. Cowen, E. Ko, D. Thompson and F. Tian, Spectra of some weighted composition operators on H^2, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged),* to appear

[9] C. C. Cowen and T. L. Kriete, Subnormality and composition operators on H^2, *J. Funct. Anal.* **81** (1988), 298-319.

[10] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.

[11] M. Fatehi and M. Haji Shaabani, Some essentially normal weighted composition operators on the weighted Bergman spaces, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.,* **60** (2015), 1205-1216.

[12] P. Hurst, Relating composition operators on different weighted Hardy spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **68** (1997), 503-513.

[13] T. L. Kriete, B. D. MacCluer and J. L. Moorhouse, Toeplitz-composition C^*-algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **58** (2007), 135-156.

[14] T. L. Kriete and J. L. Moorhouse, Linear relations in the Calkin algebra for composition operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), 2915-2944.

[15] T. Le, Self-adjoint, unitary, and normal weighted composition operators in several variables, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **395** (2012), 596-607.

[16] B. D. MacCluer, S. K. Narayan and R. J. Weir, Commutators of composition operators with adjoints of composition operators on weighted Bergman spaces, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **58** (2013), 35-54.

[17] V. Matache, Weighted composition operators on H^2 and applications, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **2** (2008), 169-197.

[18] A. E. Richman, Subnormality and composition operators on the Bergman space, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **45** (2003), 105-124.

[19] J. H. Shapiro, Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[20] J. G. Stampfli, Hyponormal operators, *Pacific J. Math.* **12** (1962), 1453-1458.

[21] N. Zorboska, Hyponormal composition operators on the weighted Hardy spaces, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **55** (1991), 399-402.

M. Fatehi, Department of Mathematics, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran.
E-mail: fatehimahsa@yahoo.com
M. Haji Shaabani, Department of Mathematics, Shiraz University of Technology, P. O. Box 71555-313, Shiraz, Iran.
E-mail: shaabani@sutech.ac.ir